App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Mg 17-31-1-12

Later References

Mg 19-37-8-3

1933. (Mezrai)

File No. 108-33

Serial Nos. 1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for November 33

SUBJECT.

Investment of Rs 20000/- proposed by Mr Lodd Govindadas for the benefit of Sri Lakshmi Venkataramana Swami temple Chickpet Bangalore City - on certain conditions.

Messrs S Srinivasaraghavan
V. Thyagarajan
Advocates 2. Armenian Street
Madras

dt 6th. 23-11-33

States that Govt can accept only unconditional endowments & not—

others

RS

General Revenue Secretariat

Fi e No. Finance (Misc) 195 [illegible]

of Rs 20,000/- proposed by Lord Govind Doss for the Construction of Sri Laldas Venkatarangaswamy Choultry, Chickpet, Bangalore City.

[illegible]

[illegible] Appl. F. III—2

Serial No.

Petition dt 12.9.33 filed by messrs S. Srinivasa Raghavan and V. Thyagarajan Advocate, Armenian Street Madras inviting reference to an extract from the written Statement of Mr Lord Govind Doss Krishna Doss Vaja Madras and requesting that a good Contractor may be suggested to their Client for undertaking the improvement work of Sri Laldoss Venkatarangaswamy Choultry, Chickpet - Bangalore City -

Another duplicate put on the subject is also placed in the file - Y.L.

(1) Kind ref[erence] is invited to decision in my Appl[ication] No 1 of 1932 pulled for ref[erence] - The facts of the case are as stated therein After the case was heard, time enough was given to Mr Lord Goinddas, the applicant in the case, for the submission of a Scheme for improving and managing the Choultry affairs - After a lengthy time he submitted a Scheme which was considered to be Scheme at all and hence orders were passed.

Now he has come forward offering to invest Rs 20,000/-

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

favour of Sri Venkataramana
Swami temple reqd to receive -
It is submd that before bestowing
any consideration to the representation
it is necessary to ascertain
if he is prepared to invest
the said sum with the M.C
in favour of the temple, to
draw funds from time as
work advances. In case he
is willing to do this, it is submd
that the work may have to be
got done under the supervision of the
P.W.D in view of the location of
the temple.

(2) For want of additional
information he may be called for.

GS
29-9-30

(4) The scheme of Constructing buildings
in the Compound of Sri Lakshmi Venkatramana
Swami temple in Bangalore as proposed
at present
in the draft can be considered only after
the question of allotting funds for the charities
has been settled in the final decree which
has not yet been passed. It does not appear desirable to take
No action
action as requested in the matter of approving
the Scheme of Construction as regards in the
present

Serial No.

present Communication from
Govt. for orders,
Recd
7/10/33

This may be sent to Mr. Q
U.O.S for remarks.
4/10/33

Secretary)

Yes.
AD
6/10/33

Forwarded with compliments the above
Commissioner for favour of remarks —
By order
7/10/33
for Financial Secretary
to Govt

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
Rec'd 52-33
7 OCT 1933

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
9 OCT. 1933
GALORE.

55
6/10

525/33-3H
12-10-33.

Returned with compliments. It is suggested that a reply
might be sent to the following effect—

The Government of Madras do not [illegible]
of Mr. Lodge [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Instructions Regulation [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
No. 1 of 1931-32.

The [illegible]
the Temple [illegible]

12 OCT 33
No

12/10/33

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. 108 of 1933. | General & Secret

[illegible] Lord Lytton or his family as proprietors interested in the temple.

Madras

If approved a reply will be sent on the lines suggested by the Mr.

H. Lees.) 13/10/33

A reply may be sent as suggested above

14.10.33 14/10

2nd Member)

18/10 19/10

Office of the Second Member of Council, 16 OCT. 1933 No. 2.h.&.h.

For perusal before issue

27/10/33

H. Lees)

28.10.33 25/10/33

30 OCT. 1933 & RLY. SEC.

No 606 of 3/11/33 to messrs S. Srinivasa Raghavan & V. Thyagarajan — Advocates 2 Armenian Street Madras intimating that an unconditional and unreserved Cheque accepted from the Lord Govindas Krishnadasvara. Opened hereon

B 7/11

S. SRINIVASARAGHAVAN
AND
V. THYAGARAJAN
ADVOCATES.

2, ARMENIAN STREET,

Madras 12th Sep. 1933 19



To,

C.Mis 108-33-1

The Dewan of Mysore,
through the Financial Secretary
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

--

Sir,

We write this under instructions from our client M.R.Ry., Lodd Govindas Krishnadas Varu, Madras.

From the enclosed extract taken from the written statement filed by him in the partition suit O.S.No.176 of 1923 on the file of the High Court Madras you will find that reference has already been made in paragraph 77 of the said written statement suggesting a decent sum being set apart for the construction of -- buildings for the maintenance and upkeep of the institution of the family temple of M.R.Ry., Lodd Govindas Varu known as Laldas Venkatramanaswami temple and for his residence for the supervision thereof, so long ago as 1923. His Lordship Justice Sir C.V.Kumaraswami Sastriar has directed in his order dated 26th February 1926 that this matter will be considered at the time of the final decree as per the enclosure No.2 attached hereto. The matter is proceeding before the Official Referee and it's practically being brought to a close to expedite the final decree. However from this you can very well -- understand as to how much interest has been evinced --

in the matter of this family temple by our client. If you however think that the matter should be urgently moved in court, we shall be obliged if you can kindly approve the scheme of constructing the building at a cost of Rs.4000/- (Rupees four thousands) for the present for the above purpose and accordingly if we have your communication for taking urgent steps, we shall move the court by taking out an urgent application and obtain funds from the court deposit standing to the credit of the family. If the matter should be urgently moved there should be some reference from the Government of Mysore confirming the arrangement and asking our client to expedite the matter so that an urgent application can be taken out in High Court before ~~his lordship Mr.Justice~~ ~~Stone on~~ his Lordship Mr.Justice Stone.

Our client will be obliged if you will kindly recommend a Contractor to carry out the work and our client will arrange to pay the contractor from the amount to be received from the High Court which will be deposited/~~from~~ in the Bank of Mysore.

Yours faithfully,

Rachamadugu

till after the passing of the final decree herein; "

True copy of paragraph 77 of the written statement filed in C.S.No.176 of 1923.High Court, Madras.

---

77. The Laldas Venkatramanaswami temple.

The temple of Laldoss Venkatramaswami is situated in the City of Bangalore. In the compound of this temple is also situate the temple of -- Vigneswara. This temple has been erected by the ancestors of this defendant's family for the worship of membe rs of the family. A sum of Rs.20,000/- may be set apart out of the available outstandings as stated above. Out of the said sum a sum of Rs.7500/- may be utilised for the construction of shops, the rents of which not exceeding Rs.50/- a month may be spent for the upkeep of the work in the said temple. An upper story may also be constructed over the shop at a cost of Rs.7500/- for the purpose of use by the members of the family when they go to Bangalore for inspection and worship. The balance of Rs.5000/- may be set apart as a permanent fund to meet any -- contingencies in the management of the temple to be utilised according to the discretion of the managing member of the family.

Enclosure 2.

Para 21 of the order passed by His - Lordship Justice Sir C.V.Kumaraswami Sastriar. in C.S.176 of 1923

"That the question of the management of the charities of the said joint family shall be reserved till after the passing of the final decree herein; "

VASARAGHAVAN
AND
V. THYAGARAJAN
ADVOCATES.

2, ARMENIAN STREET,

Madras, 12th Sep. 1933 19



To,

The Dewan of Mysore
through the Financial Secretary
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharajah of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

We write this under instructions from our client M. K. Ry., Lodd Govindas Krishnadas Varu, Madras.

From the enclosed extract taken from the written statement filed by him in the partition suit O.S.No.176 of 1923 on the file of the High Court, Madras you will find that reference has already been made in paragraph 77 of the said written statement suggesting a decent sum being set apart for the construction of -- buildings for the maintenance and upkeep of the institution of the family temple of M. K. Ry., Lodd Govindas Varu known as Laldas Venkatramanaswami temple and for his residence for the supervision thereof, so long ago as 1923. His Lordship Justice Sir C. V. Kumaraswami Sastriar has directed in his order dated 26th February 1926 that this matter will be considered at the time of the final decree as per the enclosure No.2 attached hereto. The matter is proceeding before the Official Referee and is practically being brought to a close to expedite the final decree. However from this you can very well understand as to how much interest has been evinced

in the matter of this family temple by our client. If you however think that the matter should be urgently moved in court, we shall be obliged if you can kindly approve the scheme of constructing the building at a cost of -- Rs.4000/- (Rupees four thousands) for the present for the above purpose and accordingly if we have your communication for taking urgent steps, we shall move the Court by taking out an urgent application and obtain funds from the Court deposit standing to the credit of the family. If the matter should be urgently moved there should be some reference from the Government of Mysore confirming the arrangement and asking our client to expedite the matter so that an urgent application can be taken out in High Court before ~~His Lordship Mr.Justice Yorrish or~~ His Lordship Mr.Justice Stone.

Our client will be obliged if you will kindly recommend a contractor to carry out the work and our client will arrange to pay the Contractor from the amount to be received from the High Court which will be deposited in the Bank of Mysore.

Yours faithfully,

Copy to the First Member of Council, Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, Bangalore.

True copy of paragraph 77 of the written statement filed in C.S.No.176 of 1923.High Court, Madras.

---

77. The Laldas Venkatramanaswami temple.

The temple of Laldoss Venkatramaswami is situated in the City of Bangalore. In the compound of this temple is also situate the temple of -- Vigneswara. This temple has been erected by the ancestors of this defendant's family for the worship of members of the family. A sum of Rs.20,000/- may be set apart out of the available outstandings as stated above. Out of the said sum a sum of Rs.7500/- may be utilised for the construction of shops, the rents of which not exceeding Rs.50/- a month may be spent for the upkeep of the work in the said temple. An upper story may also be constructed over the shop at a cost of Rs.7500/- for the purpose of use by the members of the family when they go to Bangalore for inspection and worship. The balance of Rs.5000/- may be set apart as a permanent fund to meet any -- contingencies in the management of the temple to be utilised according to the discretion of the managing member of the family.

Enclosure 2.

----- Para 21 of the order passed by his - Lordship Justice Sir C.V.Kumaraswami Sastriar, in C.S.176 of 1923. ---

"That the question of the management of the charities of the said joint family shall be reserved till after the passing of the final decree herein; "

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT,

No. 686 Dated, Bangalore, 31st Octr. 1933

Muz 108-33-2 3—11—33

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Messrs S. Srinivasaraghavan and
V. Thyagarajan,
Advocates, 2 - Armenian Street,
Madras.

Gentlemen,

With reference to your letter dated the 12th September 1933 written on behalf of your client M.R.Ry. Lodd Govindas Krishnadas Varu, Madras, in the matter of constructing buildings for the maintenance and upkeep of Sri Laloas Venkataramanaswami Temple, Jamkhanapet, Bangalore City, I am directed to state as follows:-

The Government do not recognise the claim of Mr. Lodd Govindas to exercise proprietory rights in respect of the above said temple, which is a Muzrai Institution governed by the provisions of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation. The position has been explained in the Muzrai Commissioner's order in C. 2209 of 1928-29 and the Government order passed in Muzrai Appeal No.1 of 1931-32.

The Government can only accept for the temple an unconditional endowment and not one purporting to reserve to Mr. Lodd Govindas or his family any kind of proprietory interests in the temple.

Yours faithfully,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

Psk.

pendix E' G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mu.

File No. 108-33

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 606

To

Messrs V. Srinivasa Mudaliar & Co.

V. Thyagaraja Chetty

Advocates

& Armenian Street. Madras.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 3-11-33

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

Gentlemen,

I am directed to give
letter of 12.9.33 written on
behalf of your client M R Ry
Lodd Govinda Krishnadas Varu,
Madras, in the matter of Sri
Laldas Sri Kalidas Sri Kalidas Tamukhanapa
Swami Temple Tamukhanapa
B. Cuddapah, I am directed
to state as follows:
The Govt do not accept
the claim of
to exercise
Lodd Govindas

of Construction buildings
for the maintenance
& upkeep

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

13 pages